

Date: Sun, 10 Dec 2006 15:48:03 +1100 (EST)

From: "Stephen Crothers" <crothers@ptep-online.com>  [Add to Address Book](#)

Subject: AIP omissions

To: president@aip.org.au
vicepresident@aip.org.au, Hans.Bachor@anu.edu.au,
phpd@alinga.newcastle.edu.au, secretary@aip.org.au, pastpresident@aip.org.au,
meredith@physics.uq.edu.au, Olivia.Samardzic@dsto.defence.gov.au,
CC: Marc.Duldig@aad.gov.au, d.abbott@brain.org.au, i.bray@murdoch.edu.au,
sbutcher@ics.mq.edu.au, B.Lohmann@sct.gu.edu.au, r.lewis@uow.edu.au,
g.swan@ecu.edu.au, andrew.stuchbery@anu.edu.au, phil@ips.gov.au,
Suzanne.Hogg@uts.edu.au

10th December 2006

The President
Australian Institute of Physics
Mr. David Jamieson

Dear Sir,

I was particularly interested in the talk given by Professor Reg Cahill of Flinders University at the Institute's recent biannual conference in Brisbane.

Now it has come to my attention that the Institute will not publish Prof. Cahill's paper in the Conference Proceedings, evidently because his work invalidates much of the currently accepted theories and also various observational and experimental projects internationally, including that of Prof. D. Blair and his team at the Australian Gravitational Observatory in Western Australia, and that this is apparently vexing to the Institute and its colleagues. The Australian Gravitational Observatory has already spent a considerable amount of taxpayers' money on its projects and currently seeks another \$20 million from the Federal Government to continue its alleged scientific investigations. In view of the work of Prof. Cahill, and others around the world, it is apparent that the investment of such sums in projects such as Blair's, and similar internationally, is not scientifically justified. However, by this latest news, the Institute evidently deliberately chooses to ignore and to suppress argument and evidence that calls into serious question the fundamental validity of the science underlying these projects, and the theories favoured by its international and domestic colleagues.

I would like your written confirmation or denial of the omission of Prof. Cahill's paper from the Conference Proceedings, and if the omission is factual, with an explanation as to why the Institute is selective in what it will publish in its Conference Proceedings, on what it relies to justify the deliberate omission of Prof. Cahill's paper, by what authority the Institute unilaterally decides on what

is and what is not to be revealed to the scientific community, and why the Institute seeks to suppress the work of Prof. Cahill in particular by virtue of its deliberate omission thereof in the Conference Proceedings.

These are matters of great concern to the international community of scientists, and to the public at large since it is the source of funds for the aforementioned projects and also for the salaries and benefits afforded to the persons involved in those projects. Any malfeasance in science cannot be tolerated and all culprits must be brought to book, and will be. Moreover, those persons in government responsible for the allocation of public money must be fully informed as to the selective nature of information it is apparently given by project lobbyists, possibly including the Australian Institute of Physics and/or its colleagues, either directly or indirectly.

Yours faithfully,
Stephen J. Crothers.
Queensland.

Send instant messages to your online friends
<http://au.messenger.yahoo.com>