

Black Holes, Unicorns, and All that Jazz


Inbox | X

☆ from **Robert Naeye** <rnaeye@skyandtelescope.com>
to ● "thenarmis@gmail.com" <thenarmis@gmail.com>
date Tue, Jul 29, 2008 at 11:03 AM
subject Black Holes, Unicorns, and All that Jazz

[hide details](#) Jul 29

[Reply](#) | ▼

Greetings Mr. Crothers,
Thank you for submitting your article on black holes to Sky & Telescope. Unfortunately, it does not meet our editorial needs, but we nevertheless appreciate your thinking of S&T, and hope you can find an alternative publisher.
Best regards,
Robert Naeye, Editor, S&T

☆ ● **Stephen Crothers** to Robert, Axel, James, Dennis, Barry, EMyrone, Da [show details](#) Jul 29  [Reply](#) | ▼

Mr. Robert Naeye,
Editor S&T,

Please explain to me why my article does not meet your "editorial needs", bearing in mind that the following recent nonsense currently appears on the website of Sky & Telescope:

<http://www.skyandtelescope.com/community/skyblog/newsblog/25726829.html>

Am I to interpret your rejection of my article as deliberate obstruction of free and open scientific discussion? It certainly appears to be the case that Sky & Telescope is unwilling to print anything which shows that the black hole is rubbish, in view of the above article Sky & Telescope has sanctioned, thereby suppressing irrefutable evidence that everyone interested in astronomy and astrophysics should be made aware of. And surely your readers are intelligent enough to decide for themselves, given the facts. Yet you will not give them the facts, only the one-sided claptrap such as that cited above.

It is extraordinary that you reject my simple and clear article yet publish on your Journal's website an absurd article about weighing black holes with a thermometer, then claim that my article, dealing with black holes, does not meet your editorial needs. I think you are not telling the truth.

I await your explanation.

Stephen J. Crothers.

Black Holes and Unicorns

★ ● **Stephen Crothers** to rnaeye

[show details](#) Aug 1

[Reply](#) ▼

Mr. Robert Naeye,
Editor Sky & Telescope,
Dear Sir,

I refer to my previous email dated 28th July to which you have not responded. I therefore suggest the following options for resolution of this matter:

1. You provide me with an explanation as to why my article does not meet your "editorial needs" in the light of the article on weighing a black hole with a thermometer which appears on the S&K website; or
2. You publish my article; or
3. I place all relevant documents (i.e. including all emails between us) on a webpage and invite international comment and discussion, from readers of S&K, scientists, astronomical commercial interests, and any other interested person whomsoever.

If I do not hear from you within say 24 hours, I will take it that you favour option 3, which I will then implement with your tacit approval.

I await your advice.

Stephen J. Crothers.